metal-organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications ISSN 0108-2701

Cobalt(II) and nickel(II) complexes of isoquinoline-1-carboxylate

Nobuo Okabe* and Yasunori Muranishi

Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Kinki University, Kowakae 3-4-1, Higashiosaka, Osaka 577-8502, Japan Correspondence e-mail: okabe@phar.kindai.ac.jp

Received 17 September 2002

Accepted 15 October 2002 Online 8 November 2002

trans-Diaquabis(isoquinoline-1-carboxylato- $\kappa^2 N$,O)cobalt(II) dihydrate, [Co(C₁₀H₆NO₂)₂(H₂O)₂]·2H₂O, and *trans*-diaquabis(isoquinoline-1-carboxylato- $\kappa^2 N, O$)nickel(II) dihydrate, [Ni- $(C_{10}H_6NO_2)_2(H_2O)_2]\cdot 2H_2O$, contain the same isoquinoline ligand, with both metal atoms residing on a centre of symmetry and having the same distorted octahedral coordination. In the former complex, the Co-O(water) bond length in the axial direction is 2.167 (2) Å, which is longer than the Co-O(carboxylate) and Co-N bond lengths in the equatorial plane [2.055 (2) and 2.096 (2) Å, respectively]. In the latter complex, the corresponding bond lengths for Ni-O(water), Ni-O(carboxylate) and Ni-N are 2.127 (2), 2.036 (2) and 2.039 (3) Å, respectively. Both crystals are stabilized by similar stacking interactions of the ligand, and also by hydrogen bonds between the hydrate and coordinated water molecules.

Comment

Isoquinoline-1-carboxylic acid (IQCA) is a potent inhibitor of the copper enzyme dopamine β -hydroxylase, which catalyses the biosynthesis of norepinephrine and lowers endogeneous levels of norepinephrine and epinephrine in the brain, heart, spleen and adrenal glands (Townes *et al.*, 1990). These authors reported the strong binding affinity of IQCA to dopamine



 β -hydroxylase compared with the analogous compound quinoline-2-carboxylic acid (QCA), which also inhibits the same enzyme. Their explanation for the large difference (a

factor of more than 100) in the apparent affinities of these two inhibitors is that the hydrophobic portions of these molecules would be oriented very differently with regard to the enzyme surface if the coordination of the ligand atoms to the Cu^{II} centre(s) in the oxidized enzyme was the same for both compounds. To date, the crystal structure of the Cu^{II} (Tomas *et al.*, 1999) and Sn^{IV} (Smith *et al.*, 1995) complexes of IQCA have been reported. Based on these findings, we aimed to clarify the interaction mode between IQCA and transition metal ions, and have determined the crystal structures of both the Co^{II} complex, (I), and the Ni^{II} complex, (II).

The molecular structure of (I) is shown in Fig. 1. The Co atom has a distorted octahedral coordination in the *trans* form, defined by two N atoms and two O atoms of the bidentate isoquinoline ligands in the equatorial plane, and two axial O atoms of the water molecules. The coordination bond length in the axial direction [Co1-O1W = 2.167 (2) Å] is longer than those in the equatorial plane (Table 1). In the crystal packing of (I), the isoquinoline rings are stacked in relation to each other at a mean distance of 3.403 (4) Å, and hydrogen bonds are formed between the coordinated water molecule, the carboxylate group and the hydrated water molecule (Table 2).



Figure 1

A view of the structure of (I) with the atomic numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level and H atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitrary radii. Atoms marked with an asterisk (*) are related by the symmetry operation (-x, -y, -z).



Figure 2

A view of the structure of (II) with the atomic numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level and H atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitrary radii. Atoms marked with an asterisk (*) are related by the symmetry operation (-x, -y, -z).



Figure 3 A stereoview of the molecular packing of (I). Hydrogen bonds are indicated by thin lines.

The molecular structure of (II) is shown in Fig. 2. In this complex, the Ni atom has the same distorted octahedral coordination geometry, bonded by the same ligand atoms, as the Co atom in (I). The bond length in the axial direction [Ni1-O1W = 2.127 (2) Å] is also longer than the other coordination bond lengths (Table 3). These long bonds in the axial direction compared with the equatorial plane, which are observed in the octahedral coordination geometry of these two complexes, may be explained by a Jahn-Teller effect. In the crystal packing of (II), the isoquinoline rings are stacked in relation to each other at a mean distance of 3.681 (12) Å.

The distorted octahedral coordination mode observed in this study has also been observed in the transition metal complexes of QCA [CoII (Okabe & Makino, 1999), NiII (Odoko et al., 2001), Mn^{II} (Haendler, 1996; Okabe & Koizumi, 1997), Fe^{II} (Okabe & Makino, 1998) and V^{IV} (Okabe & Muranishi, 2002)], with an exception being the pentacoordination of the Cu^{II} complex (Haendler, 1986).

In complexes (I) and (II), the central metal atom forms a five-membered ring with the O and N atoms of the bidentate isoquinoline ligand, as observed in the QCA complexes, as well as in the Cu^{II} complex with IQCA. The O–Co–N angle in (I) $[101.75 (7)^{\circ}]$ is larger than that in the Co^{II} complex with QCA [77.23 (7)°; Okabe & Makino, 1999]. Similarly, the O-Ni–N angle in (II) $[100.00 (9)^{\circ}]$ is larger than that in the Ni^{II} complex with QCA [78.53 (6)°; Odoko et al., 2001]. The O-Cu-N angles of the Cu^{II} complex with IQCA [82.96 (12)-97.04 $(12)^{\circ}$; Tomas *et al.*, 1999] are somewhat larger than those of the complex with QCA [82.1 (1)-82.5 (1)°; Haendler, 1986]. Thus, the included angle, O-M-N, in the five-membered ring of the IQCA complex is larger than that in the QCA complex.

The Cu atom in the Cu^{II} complex of IQCA, trans-bis(isoquinoline-1-carboxylato)copper(II), also displays octahedral coordination to two axial O atoms from the adjacent carboxylate groups (Tomas et al., 1999). It is noted that water is not coordinated to the central Cu atom, although the crystal was prepared in the presence of water (Tomas et al., 1999).

The results of the present study indicate that the coordination geometry of (I) and (II) is the same as that in the Cu^{II} complex with IQCA, but the ligating atoms of (I) and (II) are different from those of the Cu^{II} complex. In all the complexes of QCA with transition metal ions (Co^{II}, Ni^{II}, Mn^{II} and Fe^{II}), as well as those of compounds (I) and (II), the central metal atom is coordinated to two water molecules, although the Cu^{II} and VIV complexes of QCA contain only one coordinated water molecule. The absence of coordinated water in the Cu^{II} complex of IQCA may have some role in the potent inhibitory activity of IQCA against dopamine β -hydroxylase, although this must be further confirmed by solution studies in a biological environment.

Experimental

Orange plate-like crystals of (I) were obtained by slow evaporation of a solution in methanol-water (90:10 v/v) of a mixture of isoquinoline-1-carboxylic acid and CoCl₄·6H₂O (molar ratio 4:1). Blue needle-like crystals of (II) were obtained by slow evaporation of a solution in methanol-water (70:30 v/v) of a mixture of isoquinoline-1-carboxylic acid and NiCl₂·6H₂O (molar ratio 4:1).

Compound (I)

Crystal data

-	
$Co(C_{10}H_6NO_2)_2(H_2O)_2]\cdot 2H_2O$	$D_x = 1.610 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$A_r = 475.31$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Aonoclinic, $P2_1/n$	Cell parameters from 25
a = 12.271(2)Å	reflections
$p = 5.324 (2) \text{ Å}_{2}$	$\theta = 14.3 - 15.0^{\circ}$
= 15.150(1) Å	$\mu = 0.93 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$B = 97.85 \ (1)^{\circ}$	T = 296.2 K
$V = 980.5 (4) \text{ Å}^3$	Plate, orange
Z = 2	$0.40 \times 0.15 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Rigaku AFC-5R diffractometer	$R_{\rm int} = 0.016$
$\omega/2\theta$ scans	$\theta_{\rm max} = 27.5^{\circ}$
Absorption correction: ψ scan	$h = 0 \rightarrow 15$
(North et al., 1968)	$k = 0 \rightarrow 6$
$T_{\min} = 0.846, T_{\max} = 0.911$	$l = -19 \rightarrow 19$
2594 measured reflections	3 standard reflections
2241 independent reflections	every 150 reflections
1675 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$	intensity decay: none

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	H-atom parameters constrained
R(F) = 0.031	$w = 1/[\sigma^2 (F_o^2) + (0.10P)^2]$
$wR(F^2) = 0.116$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 0.84	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
2241 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.32 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
142 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.22 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, $^{\circ}$) for (I).

Co1-O1 Co1-O1 <i>W</i>	2.055 (2) 2.167 (2)	Co1-N1	2.096 (2)
O1-Co1-O1W O1-Co1-N1 $O1-Co1-N1^{i}$ O1W-Co1-N1	90.52 (6) 78.25 (7) 101.75 (7) 91.42 (7)	Co1-O1-C10 Co1-N1-C1 Co1-N1-C9	116.6 (1) 115.4 (1) 124.8 (1)

Symmetry code: (i) -x, -y, -z.

metal-organic compounds

Table 2

Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, $^{\circ}$) for (I).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$O1W-H1A\cdots O2W$	0.86	1.97	2.829 (3)	173
$O2W - H2A \cdots O2W^{ii}$	0.84	2.03	2.829 (2)	160
$O2W - H2B \cdots O2^m$	0.87	1.86	2.723 (3)	172

Symmetry codes: (i) x, 1 + y, z; (ii) $\frac{1}{2} - x, y - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - z$; (iii) $\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} - z$.

Compound (II)

Crystal data

$[Ni(C_{10}H_6NO_2)_2(H_2O)_2]\cdot 2H_2O$	$D_x = 1.619 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 475.07$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$	Cell parameters from 2
a = 12.171 (2) Å	reflections
b = 5.351 (4) Å	$\theta = 14.0 - 14.6^{\circ}$
c = 15.107 (2) Å	$\mu = 1.05 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 97.91 \ (1)^{\circ}$	T = 296.2 K
V = 974.5 (8) Å ³	Needle, blue
Z = 2	$0.50 \times 0.10 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Rigaku AFC-5R diffractometer $\omega/2\theta$ scans Absorption correction: ψ scan (North et al., 1968) $T_{\rm min} = 0.882, \ T_{\rm max} = 0.949$ 2573 measured reflections 2225 independent reflections 1620 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 R(F) = 0.040 $wR(F^2) = 0.143$ S = 0.992225 reflections 142 parameters

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.018$ $\theta_{\rm max} = 27.5^{\circ}$ $h = 12 \rightarrow 15$ $k = 0 \rightarrow 6$ $l = -19 \rightarrow 19$ 3 standard reflections every 150 reflections intensity decay: none

parameters from 25

H-atom parameters constrained $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.10P)^2]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.66 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.48 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$

All H atoms in (I) and (II) were treated as riding, with C-H distances of 0.93 Å and O-H distances in the range 0.86-0.95 Å.

Table 3

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °) for (II).

Ni1—O1 Ni1—O1W	2.036 (2) 2.127 (2)	Ni1-N1	2.039 (3)
O1-Ni1-O1W O1-Ni1-N1 O1W-Ni1-N1	89.14 (8) 80.00 (9) 88.56 (9)	Ni1-O1-C10 Ni1-N1-C1 Ni1-N1-C9	115.6 (2) 115.0 (2) 125.0 (2)

Symmetry code: (i) -x, -y, -z.

Table 4 Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °) for (II).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$01W-H1A\cdots O2W$ $01W-H1B\cdots O1^{i}$ $02W-H2B\cdots O2^{ii}$ $02W-H2A\cdots O2^{wii}$	0.94 0.92 0.95 0.95	1.87 1.95 1.79 2.09	2.810 (4) 2.833 (3) 2.739 (4) 2.969 (4)	178 161 172 154

Symmetry codes: (i) -x, 1 - y, -z; (ii) $\frac{1}{2} + x$, $\frac{1}{2} - y$, $\frac{1}{2} + z$; (iii) $\frac{1}{2} - x$, $y - \frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2} - z$.

For both compounds, data collection: MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1994); cell refinement: MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software; data reduction: TEXSAN (Molecular Structure Corporation & Rigaku, 1999); program(s) used to solve structure: SIR97 (Altomare et al., 1999) and DIRDIF94 (Beurskens et al., 1994); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976); software used to prepare material for publication: TEXSAN.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: GG1140). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Altomare, A., Burla, M. C., Camalli, M., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C., Guagliardi, A., Moliterni, A. G. G., Polidori, G. & Spagna, R. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 115-119.
- Beurskens, P. T., Admiraal, G., Beurskens, G., Bosman, W. P., de Gelder, R., Israel, R. & Smits, J. M. M. (1994). The DIRDIF94 Program System. Technical Report of the Crystallography Laboratory, University of Nijmegen, The Netherlands.

Haendler, H. M. (1986). Acta Cryst. C42, 147-149.

- Haendler, H. M. (1996). Acta Cryst. C52, 801-803.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1994). MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Molecular Structure Corporation & Rigaku (1999). TEXSAN. Version 1.10. MSC, 9009 New Trails Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381-5209, USA, and Rigaku Corporation, 3-9-12 Akishima, Tokyo 196-8666, Japan.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351-

Odoko, M., Muranishi, Y. & Okabe, N. (2001). Acta Cryst. E57, m267-m269.

Okabe, N. & Koizumi, M. (1997). Acta Cryst. C53, 852-854.

Okabe, N. & Makino, T. (1998). Acta Cryst. C54, 1279-1280.

- Okabe, N. & Makino, T. (1999). Acta Cryst. C55, 300-302.
- Okabe, N. & Muranishi, Y. (2002). Acta Cryst. E58, m287-m289.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany. Smith, F. E., Khoo, L. E., Chia, L. S. & Hynes, R. C. (1995). Can. J. Chem. 73, 1436-1443.
- Tomas, O. P. A., Viossat, B., Bachet, B. & Nguyen-Huy, D. (1999). Z. Kristallogr. 214, 61-62.
- Townes, S., Titone, S. & Rosenberg, R. C. (1990). Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 1037, 240-247.